

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji –Goa

Tel No. 0832-2437908/2437208 email: spio-gsic.goa@nic.in website:www.gsic.goa.gov.in

Appeal No. 47/2022/SCIC

Shri. Jawaharlal T. Shetye,
H.No. 35/A, Ward No. 11,
Khorlim, Mapusa-Goa.
403507.

.....Appellant

V/S

1. The Public Information Officer,
Mapusa Municipal Council,
Mapusa-Goa. 403507.

2. The First Appellate Authority,
The Chief Officer,
Mapusa Municipal Council,
Mapusa-Goa. 403507.

.....Respondents

Shri. Vishwas R. Satarkar

State Chief Information Commissioner

Filed on: 08/02/2022

Decided on: 22/09/2022

ORDER

1. The Appellant, Shri. Jawaharlal T. Shetye, H. No. 35/A, Ward No. 11, Khorlim, Mapusa-Goa, by his application dated 12/11/2021, filed under sec 6(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter to be referred as 'Act') sought certain information from the Public Information Officer (PIO) of Mapusa Municipal Council, Mapusa-Goa.
2. The said application was not responded by the PIO within stipulated time, hence deeming the same as refusal, the Appellant filed first appeal before the Chief Officer, Mapusa Municipal Council, Mapusa Goa being the First Appellate Authority (FAA).
3. Since the FAA also failed to hear the first appeal within prescribed period, the Appellant preferred this second appeal before the Commission under section 19(3) of the Act.
4. Notice was issued to parties, pursuant to which APIO, Shri. Rajendra Bhagkar appeared on behalf of the PIO and

submitted that, the PIO has furnished all the information to the Appellant on 22/08/2022 and produced on record the copy of acknowledgement of the Appellant dated 22/08/2022 to support his contention.

Representative of the FAA, Adv. Pallavi S. Dicholker appeared and placed on record the reply of the FAA dated 09/09/2022.

5. In spite of ample opportunities, the Appellant failed to remain present for hearing before the Commission on 06/05/2022, 29/06/2022, 03/08/2022, 09/09/2022, 15/09/2022 and 22/09/2022.

6. Records reveal that the information sought was received by the Appellant on 22/08/2022, which is evident from the acknowledgement of the Appellant. Therefore, I believe that the Appellant is satisfied with the information provided by the PIO. Therefore the appeal stands disposed.

- Proceedings closed.
- Pronounced in the open court.
- Notify the parties.

Sd/-

(Vishwas R. Satarkar)

State Chief Information Commissioner